### **DEPARTMENT OF STATE REVENUE**

01-20160090.LOF

Letter of Findings: 01-20160090 Indiana Individual Income Tax For The Tax Years 2011 and 2012

**NOTICE:** IC § 6-8.1-3-3.5 and IC § 4-22-7-7 require the publication of this document in the Indiana Register. This document provides the general public with information about the Department's official position concerning a specific set of facts and issues. This document is effective on its date of publication and remains in effect until the date it is superseded or deleted by the publication of another document in the Indiana Register. The "Holding" section of this document is provided for the convenience of the reader and is not part of the analysis contained in this Letter of Findings.

# **HOLDING**

Individual was not required to file 2011 and 2012 Indiana individual income tax returns because she was domiciled in Illinois and was not an Indiana resident and did not have Indiana source income.

### **ISSUE**

### I. Indiana Individual Income Tax - Non-filer.

**Authority:** IC § 6-3-1-3.5; IC § 6-3-1-12; IC § 6-3-1-13; IC § 6-3-2-1; IC § 6-3-2-2; IC § 6-8.1-5-1; Lafayette Square Amoco, Inc. v. Indiana Dep't of State Revenue, 867 N.E.2d 289 (Ind. Tax Ct. 2007); Indiana Dep't of State Revenue v. Rent-A-Center East, Inc., 963 N.E.2d 463 (Ind. 2012); Scopelite v. Indiana Dep't of Local Gov't Fin., 939 N.E.2d 1138 (Ind. Tax Ct. 2010); Wendt LLP v. Indiana Dep't of State Revenue, 977 N.E.2d 480 (Ind. Tax Ct. 2012); Croop v. Walton, 157 N.E. 275 (Ind. 1927); State Election Bd. v. Bayh, 521 N.E.2d 1313 (Ind. 1988); 45 IAC 3.1-1-21; 45 IAC 3.1-1-22; 45 IAC 3.1-1-23.

Taxpayer protests the Department's proposed assessment for the 2011 and 2012 tax years.

# STATEMENT OF FACTS

Taxpayer is an individual with a current Illinois address. Taxpayer did not file Indiana income tax returns for the tax years 2011 and 2012 ("Tax Years at Issue"). The Indiana Department of Revenue ("Department") determined that for the Tax Years at Issue, Taxpayer was an Indiana resident and had Indiana source income, that Taxpayer failed to file her Indiana income tax return, and that Indiana income tax was due for the Tax Years at Issue.

Taxpayer timely protested the assessment. An administrative phone hearing was held. This Letter of Findings ensues and addresses Taxpayer's protest of the proposed assessment for the Tax Years at Issue. Additional facts will be provided as necessary.

#### I. Indiana Individual Income Tax - Non-filer.

## **DISCUSSION**

The Department determined that Taxpayer was an Indiana resident for the Tax Years at Issue, that she had Indiana source income, that she failed to file her Indiana income tax returns, and that Indiana income tax was due. Taxpayer, to the contrary, claimed that she was not required to file her Indiana income tax returns and did not owe any Indiana income tax because she was not an Indiana resident. The issue is whether Taxpayer was an Indiana resident for the Tax Years at Issue.

As a threshold issue, all tax assessments are prima facie evidence that the Department's claim for the unpaid tax is valid; the taxpayer bears the burden of proving that any assessment is incorrect. IC § 6-8.1-5-1(c); Lafayette Square Amoco, Inc. v. Indiana Dep't of State Revenue, 867 N.E.2d 289, 292 (Ind. Tax Ct. 2007); Indiana Dep't of State Revenue v. Rent-A-Center East, Inc., 963 N.E.2d 463, 466 (Ind. 2012). Thus, the taxpayer is required to provide documentation explaining and supporting its challenge that the Department's assessment is wrong. Poorly developed and non-cogent arguments are subject to waiver. Scopelite v. Indiana Dep't of Local Gov't Fin., 939 N.E.2d 1138, 1145 (Ind. Tax Ct. 2010); Wendt LLP v. Indiana Dep't of State Revenue, 977 N.E.2d 480, 486 n.9 (Ind. Tax Ct. 2012).

Indiana imposes a tax "on the adjusted gross income of every resident person, and on that part of the adjusted gross income derived from sources within Indiana of every nonresident person." IC § 6-3-2-1(a). IC § 6-3-2-2(a) specifically outlines what is income derived from Indiana sources and subject to Indiana income tax. For Indiana income tax purposes, the presumption is that taxpayers file their federal income tax returns as required pursuant to the Internal Revenue Code. Thus, to efficiently and effectively compute what is considered the taxpayers' Indiana income tax, the Indiana statute refers to the Internal Revenue Code. IC § 6-3-1-3.5(a) provides the starting point to determine the taxpayers' taxable income and to calculate what would be their Indiana income tax after applying certain additions and subtractions to that starting point.

For Indiana income tax purposes, resident "includes (a) any individual who was domiciled in this state during the taxable year, or (b) any individual who maintains a permanent place of residence in this state and spends more than one hundred eighty-three (183) days of the taxable year within this state. . . . " IC § 6-3-1-12; see also 45 IAC 3.1-1-21. Nonresident is "any person who is not a resident of Indiana." IC § 6-3-1-13.

45 IAC 3.1-1-23(2) explains further how "residency" affects a taxpayer's income tax liability, in relevant part, as follows:

Taxpayer Moving from Indiana. Any person who, on or before the last day of the taxable year, changes his residence or domicile from Indiana to a place without Indiana, with the intent of abiding permanently without Indiana, is subject to adjusted gross income tax on all taxable income earned while an Indiana resident. Indiana will not tax income of a taxpayer who moves from Indiana and becomes an actual domiciliary of another state or country except that income received from Indiana sources will continue to be taxable.

To determine a person's domicile, 45 IAC 3.1-1-22 states:

For the purposes of this Act, a person has only one domicile at a given time even though that person maintains more than one residence at that time. Once a domicile has been established, it remains until the conditions necessary for a change of domicile occur.

In order to establish a new domicile, the person must be physically present at a place, and must have the simultaneous intent of establishing a home at that place. It is not necessary that the person intend to remain there until death; however, if the person, at the time of moving to the new location, has definite plans to leave that new location, then no new domicile has been established.

The determination of a person's intent in relocating is necessarily a subjective determination. There is no one set of standards that will accurately indicate the person's intent in every relocation. The determination must be made on the facts present in each individual case. Relevant facts in determining whether a new domicile has been established include, but are not limited to:

- (1) Purchasing or renting residential property
- (2) Registering to vote
- (3) Seeking elective office
- (4) Filing a resident state income tax return or complying with the homestead laws of a state
- (5) Receiving public assistance
- (6) Titling and registering a motor vehicle
- (7) Preparing a new last will and testament which includes the state of domicile.

Thus, a new domicile is not necessarily created when an individual moves to a place outside Indiana. Instead, the individual must move to the new non-Indiana place and have intent to remain there indefinitely.

For example, in Croop v. Walton, 157 N.E. 275 (Ind. 1927), a taxpayer, Mr. Walton, who was domiciled in Michigan sold his home in Michigan and moved to a new residence in Indiana where he and his wife lived for several years for the benefit of his wife's health. Mr. Walton lived in the Indiana home "on account of the mental and physical condition of his wife, and continued to occupy it until such time as she could safely return to [Michigan] to live." Id. at 276. The court concluded that, based on the level of activity he maintained in Michigan and lack of intention to abandon his domicile, Mr. Walton did not change his domicile from Michigan to Indiana. The court explained, in relevant part, that:

"If [a] taxpayer has two residences in different states, he is taxable at the place which was originally his domicile, provided the opening of the other home has not involved an abandonment of the original domicile and the acquisition of a new one."

DIN: 20160928-IR-045160397NRA

'[D]omicile' . . . is the place with which a person has a settled connection for legal purposes, either because his home is there or because it is assigned to him by the law, and is usually defined as that place where a man has his true, fixed, permanent home, habitation, and principal establishment, without any present intention of removing therefrom, and to which place he has, whenever he is absent, the intention of returning.

Id. (Internal citations omitted) (Emphasis added).

In explaining the difference between "residence" and "domicile," the court in Croop stated:

'Domicile' "is a residence acquired as a final abode. To constitute it there must be (1) residence, actual or inchoate; (2) the nonexistence of any intention to make a domicile elsewhere." "The domicile of any person is, in general, the place which is in fact his permanent home, but is in some cases the place which, whether it be in fact his home or not, is determined to be his home by a rule of law."

"Residence is preserved by the act, domicile by the intention." "Domicile is not determined by residence alone, but upon a consideration of all the circumstances of the case." "While a person can have but one domicile at a time, he may have concurrently a residence in one place . . . and a domicile in another."

To effect a change of domicile, there must be an abandonment of the first domicile with an intention not to return to it, and there must be a new domicile acquired by residence elsewhere with an intention of residing there permanently, or at least indefinitely.

Id. (Internal citations omitted) (Emphasis added).

In State Election Bd. v. Bayh, 521 N.E.2d 1313 (Ind. 1988), the Indiana Supreme Court considered the issue of the meaning of "domicile" in determining that Mr. Bayh met the residency requirement for the office of Governor. Mr. Bayh's domicile remained in Indiana even though he moved to different states for various reasons for many years. The court stated, in pertinent part:

Once acquired, domicile is presumed to continue because "every man has a residence somewhere, and ... he does not lose the one until he has gained one in another place." Establishing a new residence or domicile terminates the former domicile. A change of domicile requires an actual moving with an intent to go to a given place and remain there. "It must be an intention coupled with acts evidencing that intention to make the new domicile a home in fact.... [T]here must be the intention to abandon the old domicile; the intention to acquire a new one; and residence in the new place in order to accomplish a change of domicile."

A person who leaves his places of residence temporarily, but with the intention of returning, has not lost his original residence. . . .

Residency requires a definite intention and "evidence of acts undertaken in furtherance of the requisite intent, which makes the intent manifest and believable." **Intent and conduct must converge to establish a new domicile**.

Id. at 1317-18 (Ind. 1988) (Emphasis added).

Taxpayer contended that she was not an Indiana resident for the Tax Years at Issue and her "state of residence as of 2009 has been Illinois." Taxpayer claimed that she moved to Illinois to start her dental practice in 2009 and subsequently obtained her Illinois driver's license and registered her vehicle in Illinois. Taxpayer also stated that she was married in Illinois in January 2010. In addition, Taxpayer stated that she leased an apartment before she purchased the Illinois house in 2013 and claimed the Illinois homestead exemption. Taxpayer further explained that "[t]he taxes in question are all from my investment account . . . [using] my parent's address in Indiana. . . . "

Thus, to determine whether Taxpayer was Indiana resident for the Tax Years at Issue, the Department must first determine whether Taxpayer effectively changed her domicile to Illinois before 2011. To support her protest, Taxpayer offered a lease agreement regarding her Illinois apartment, Illinois driver's license and vehicle registration, her Illinois professional license, Illinois marriage certificate, and Illinois Individual Income Tax Returns for the Tax Years at Issue.

# Indiana Register

Upon review, as mentioned earlier, "[o]nce a domicile has been established, it remains until the conditions necessary for a change of domicile occur." 45 IAC 3.1-1-22. "To effect a change of domicile, there must be an abandonment of the first domicile with an intention not to return to it, and there must be a new domicile acquired by residence elsewhere with an intention of residing there permanently, or at least indefinitely." Croop, 157 N.E. at 276; see also Bayh, 521 N.E.2d at 1317-18. Taxpayer's supporting documentation demonstrated (1) that she obtained her Illinois professional license in 2009, (2) that she moved to and leased an apartment for two years in Illinois in 2010, (3) that she was married in Illinois in January 2010, (4) that she obtained her Illinois driver's license and vehicle registration in 2010 and (5) that she did not have Indiana source income.

The Department is mindful that there is no one set of standards that will accurately indicate the person's intent in every relocation. Given a "case by case" review of Taxpayer's facts, documentation, circumstances, Taxpayer has met her burden of establishing that by 2011, she "abandoned" her Indiana domicile and established a domicile in Illinois for the Tax Years at Issue.

In short, given the totality of the circumstances, Taxpayer established that she was not an Indiana resident for the Tax Years at Issue and she did not have Indiana source income. Thus, Taxpayer was not required to file Indiana individual income tax returns for the Tax Years at Issue.

#### **FINDING**

Taxpayer's protest is sustained.

Posted: 09/28/2016 by Legislative Services Agency An html version of this document.